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## SUMMARY

Estimates are given of the magnitudes, epicentres and origin times of 17 explosions fired by China at the test site in Xinjiang Province between 1967 and 1989, for which time and amplitude data are published in the bulletins of the International Seismological Centre (ISC). The epicentres and origin times are estimated using the joint epicentre method. The epicentres are estimated relative to those of the explosions of the 6 October 1983 and 3 October 1984 for which some information on the true epicentres is available.

The magnitudes are determined using a joint maximum-likelihood method. With this method allowance is made for the detection threshold of the stations reporting $P$ amplitudes. If such allowance is not made the estimates will usually be biased high with the bias increasing as magnitude decreases. Thus at a body-wave magnitude ( $m_{b}$ ) of around 4.5 the systematic differences between the maximum-likelihood estimates of magnitude and the ISC estimates, is found to be about 0.25 magnitude units whereas above $m_{b} 5.5$ the difference is negligible.

The joint methods of epicentre and magnitude estimation also produce estimates of station time and magnitude effects. These effects are listed for up to 319 stations.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Since 1964 China has carried out nuclear tests in Xinjiang Province. In this report we give estimates of body-wave magnitudes $\left(m_{6}\right)$, epicentres and origin times of 17 presumed explosions carried out by China in the period 1967-1989 for which P onset times and amplitudes are published in the bulletin of the International Seismological Centre (ISC). Between 1967 and 1980 there were 11 tests, 7 of them in the atmosphere; the other four tests being underground. Since 1981 all tests have been underground. The site for the atmospheric tests is near Lop Nor lake and that for the underground explosions near the village of Singer; Singer being about 100 km from Lop Nor.

In computing the epicentres and origin times we use the method of Joint Epicentre Determination (JED) of Douglas [1]. To estimate the magnitude, the joint maximum-likelihood method of Lilwall [2] and Lilwall \& Neary [3] is used. The method has an advantage over the least squares method usually used, in that allowance is made for the detection (or reporting) thresholds of the stations. If such allowance is not made the estimates are biased high with the bias increasing as magnitude decreases.

## 2. EPICENTRE RELOCATIONS

The JED method was used to relocate the explosions using P \& PKP arrival times taken from ISC bulletins. Arrival time readings were weighted to allow for gross errors and for variation between stations in the quality of the arrival time measurements. The effect of gross errors is reduced using the method of uniform reduction (Jeffreys [4]). The method assumes that the errors in the observations are essentially normally distributed but that this is modified by the addition of a small uniform distribution due to gross errors. This modification to the distribution results in weights that progressively reduce the contribution of residuals as their deviation from the mode increases.

For stations that report sufficient explosions (here set at 10) the standard deviation of the residuals is calculated and used to weight the arrival times for the station. This technique permits the incorporation of a large body of PKP data which would normally be given zero weight because its variance is significantly greater than that of most P observations.

The overall location of groups of epicentres is best determined by restraining one or more epicentres to the true values if these are available. For the Singer site "two Chinese-supplied test locations" are shown on a map published by Matzko [5]. The dates of the two tests were not given by the Chinese but Matzko [5] argues that they are the explosions of the 6 October 1983 and 3 October 1984. Here these two tests have been restrained to the epicentres read from the published map (Matzko [5]). The origin time of the 6 October 1983 explosion is restrained to the nearest exact minute. All depths are restrained to zero. The number of stations used is 265.

Figure 1(a) shows the ISC epicentres for the 17 explosions and figure 1(b) the JED results. Both sets of epicentres clearly separate into two groups corresponding to the Singer and Lop Nor sites. However, the JED results show that the Singer epicentres are concentrated into three groups, which is not clear from the ISC results. Within each of the three groups the spread of epicentres is only a few kilometres.

Figure 2 shows the JED epicentres for the Singer explosions on a topographic map of the area. The three groups are marked A, B \& C. Group A which contains the two explosions with the restrained epicentres lies in an area of low relief. The explosions in this group appear to have been fired at the bottom of vertical shafts (Matzko [5]). Groups B \& C lie in or close to steep-sided hills so the explosions may have been fired in tunnels driven into the hillsides.

At the Lop Nor site the JED results (figure 1b) suggest that 6 of the 7 explosions have true epicentres that are spread over an area of less than 10 km radius: 5 of the 6 explosions are spread in an E-W direction over about 15 km at a latitude of around $40.7^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. The uncertainties on the sixth epicentre which lies about 20 km to the north of the group of 5 is so large that the true epicentre could be close to the other 5. The uncertainties on the JED estimate of the remaining epicentre which is the most northerly of the Lop Nor estimates are less than 10 km and so on the evidence of this analysis it is unlikely that this explosion has an epicentre close to the others at Lop Nor.

Table 1 gives the relocated epicentres, origin times and $95 \%$ confidence limits. In addition to the epicentres, the JED method gives estimates of the station time-terms. These are listed in table 2. Positive values, show that the signal was late relative to the time predicted from traveltime tables (here Jeffreys-Bullen) and conversely a negative value shows that the onset is early relative to the predicted time. If the time terms are to be used as corrections which when added to the observed time corrects for deviations from predicted times, then all the time terms should have their sign reversed.

## 3. MAGNITUDES

Given $n$ explosions recorded at some or all of $q$ stations, then it is usually assumed that $m_{i j}$, the magnitude at the ith explosion recorded at the $j$ th station can be written:

$$
m_{i j}=b_{i}+s_{j}+\varepsilon_{i j}
$$

where $b_{i}$ is the magnitude of explosion $i, s_{j}$ is a station term and $\varepsilon_{i j}$ is an error term. Following Gutenberg and Richter [6] the body-wave magnitude at station $j$ for explosion $i$ is:

$$
m_{i j}=\log A_{i j} / T_{i j}+B\left(\Delta_{i j}\right)
$$

where $A_{i j}$ is the amplitude of the $P$ wave, $T_{i j}$ its predominant period, and $B\left(\Delta_{i j}\right)$ the correction factor for the distance $\Delta_{i j}$ between explosion $i$ and station $j$. Usually $b_{i}$ and $s_{j}$ are estimated by least squares (see for example Douglas [7]) with the assumption that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{j=q} s_{j}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such estimates are unbiased if the observed $m_{i j}$ are sampled randomly from a normal distribution. In practice however, the distribution of $m_{i j}$ will not be normal. Below average amplitudes will tend to be under-reported because at some stations the amplitude will be so small it will not be detected or if detected will not be measured and reported to data centres. Magnitudes estimated by least squares will thus tend to be biased high.

Lilwall [2] and Lilwall and Neary [3] following Christoffersson [8] shows that unbiased estimates of magnitude (and station effects) can be obtained (given estimates of station threshold and the variance of the threshold) by using maximum-likelihood methods, again with the assumption given in (1). Using Lilwall's method, maximum-likelihood estimates of body wave magnitude $\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{Me}}\right)$ have been determined for all the 17 explosions considered here.

From Christoffersson et al [8] the distribution of observed station magnitudes $m_{i j}$ can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { where } G_{j}=g_{j}+B\left(\Delta_{i j}\right) \text {. } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\theta$ is the normal density function of variance $\sigma^{2}$ representing the distribution of "uncensored" values of $m_{i j} ; \phi$ the cumulative normal distribution; $g_{j}$ the mean ( $50 \%$ ) amplitude measurement threshold in terms of $\log A / T$ for station $j ; \gamma_{j}^{2}$ the variance of the threshold assumed normally distributed about $g_{j}$. If the sources are close together equation 3 enables the main $\log A / T$ thresholds $g_{j}$ to be expressed in terms of magnitude thresholds $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{j}}$.

Estimates of $b_{i}, s_{j}$ and $\sigma$ can be determined by maximising the likelihood function resulting from the product over the observed values of $m_{i j}$ of terms given by equation 2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(b_{i}, s_{j}, \sigma\right)=\prod_{\substack{\text { observed } \\ m_{i j}}}^{\Pi} P\left(m_{i j} \mid b_{i}, s_{j} \ldots\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Maximisation being subject to the constraint equation 1.
Ideally station thresholds and the variance of the thresholds would be determined once for each station and then used for all time. However, station thresholds do change with time. Possible reasons for this might be increased noise levels due to the growth of industry in the vicinity
of the station and changes in reporting procedures with some stations deciding to measure amplitudes on smaller signals than they had in the past. Estimates of station thresholds and variance covering the period 1982-1989 have been combined with those of Lilwall and Neary [3] to cover the whole period 1964-1989. The threshold and variances are estimated from the overall distribution of $\log \mathrm{A} T$ submitted to the ISC for each station, using the method of Kelly and Lacoss [9]. As with the travel times the effects of gross errors in the amplitudes are reduced using weighting based on the method of uniform reduction (Jeffreys [4]). Examination of the distributions of observed amplitudes away from the mode suggests that the frequency of gross errors is 0.01 times the peak frequency.

The amplitude data for the two test sites, Lop Nor and Singer, have been analysed separately. This is done to allow for possible differences in the near source effects. Now, the station network for each of the analyses is not constant and it is possible that this will result in systematic biases in the magnitudes estimated. There is no sure way of correcting for these possible biases. Here, we have simply assumed that the average station effect for the analysis that uses the largest number of stations (that for the 10 underground explosions with 185 stations) sets the baseline. Then for the atmospheric explosions the average $s_{j}^{A}-s_{j}^{U}$ is computed; where $s_{j}^{A}$ is the magnitude term for station j obtained from the analysis of the observations from the atmospheric explosions and $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{U}}$ the equivalent terms obtained from the analysis of the observations from the underground explosions; the average being formed from only those stations common to both the atmospheric and underground analyses. The average ( -0.13 magnitude units) is then subtracted from $s_{j}^{A}$ and added to the magnitudes of the atmospheric explosions.

The data used for each analysis are: (i) Singer (underground) explosions - 397 readings from 10 explosions and 185 stations; and (ii) Lop Nor (atmospheric) explosions - 32 readings from 7 explosions at 23 stations. The estimated magnitudes and station magnitude terms, corrected to a common baseline as described above, are given in tables 1 and 2 respectively. For the station magnitude terms positive values indicate above average amplitudes and negative values below average amplitudes.

Comparisons of station terms from the two analyses are displayed in figure 3. Figure 3(a) shows a comparison of the station magnitude terms with the time terms. Assuming that $\mathbf{P}$ wave speeds in the earth are negatively correlated with attenuation - the lower the wave speed the greater the attenuation - then this would be expected to show up as a negative correlation between the station magnitude and time terms. As figure 3(a) shows, if there is such a correlation it is very weak. Figures 3(b) shows the station magnitude term for the atmospheric explosions against the terms for the underground explosions. It is clear that there is little correlation between the station magnitude terms which justifies the decision to analyse the two data sets separately.

The magnitude analyses described above were made using the distance-correction curve ( $B(\Delta)$ ) of Lilwall [10] which covers the range $20-180^{\circ}$. The advantage of using this curve, is that observations from many more stations can be included than with the standard Gutenberg curve which ends at $100^{\circ}$. However, comparison of magnitudes ( $m_{b}^{\mathrm{ML}}$ ) estimated using the data from 20-180 range with those estimated using data in the $20-100^{\circ}$ range (and the Lilwall curve) shows that with the larger range the magnitudes are 0.04 magnitude units smaller than those obtained with stations only out to $100^{\circ}$ (figure 4(a)). (Similar results are obtained using the Gutenberg curve to estimate the magnitudes for data in the $20-100^{\circ}$ range.) Conversely the station magnitude terms obtained using data at distances of $20-180^{\circ}$ are 0.04 magnitude units larger than those obtained using data only out to $100^{\circ}$ (figure $3(\mathrm{c})$ ). This result may indicate that the $\mathrm{B}(\Delta)$ curve of Lilwall is systematically too low at distances beyond $100^{\circ}$. However, a similar analysis of French explosions in the South Pacific suggests that if anything the $\mathrm{B}(\Delta)$ curve of Lilwall [10] is biased high. These differences between magnitudes estimated using different distance ranges are perhaps to be expected because for analyses of the explosions at one test site (and other closely-spaced groups of sources) the distance
from each explosion to a given station is almost constant. The station effect will thus include any systematic effect that is peculiar to the particular station-test site paths. Presumably for widely distributed sources the results using $B(\Delta)$ curves with different distance ranges would on average be equal. The disadvantage of carrying out joint analyses of widely spaced data is that station effects are averages of a wide range of paths and thus will not correct as well for individual paths as is possible with closely- spaced sources. The absolute estimates of magnitude for analyses of data from closely-spaced sources then becomes a matter of definition. Here we assume that the best estimates of $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{ML}}$ are obtained using data from as many stations as possible, that is data that covers the whole range $20-180^{\circ}$.

Figure 4(b) shows a comparison of the $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{ML}}$ obtained here and those published by the ISC (which uses the Gutenberg curve). The figure shows that there are systematic differences between the two sets of magnitudes. As expected the difference is greatest ( $\approx 0.25$ magnitude units) at the lowest magnitudes and decreases as magnitude increases. Above about $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{o}} 5.5$ the differences are negligible.
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Table 1: Epicentres, origin times and magnitudes for the Chinese explosions.
Table 2: Station time and amplitude terms with $95 \%$ confidence limits.

## FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1: Estimated Epicentres for the Chinese Explosions.
(a) ISC epicentres.
(b) JED epicentres.

Figure 2: Topographic map of the Singer test site showing the JED epicentres.
Figure 3: Comparisons of Station Terms
(a) Station magnitude terms against station time-terms for the Singer (underground) explosions.
(b) Station magnitude terms for the Lop Nor (atmospheric) explosions against the magnitude terms for the Singer explosions.
(c) Station magnitude terms for the Singer explosions derived using only data in the range $20-100^{\circ}$ against those derived using data out to $180^{\circ}$.

Figure 4: (a) Maximum-likelihood magnitudes derived for the Singer underground explosions using only data in the range $20-100^{\circ}$ against the magnitudes derived using data in the range $20-180^{\circ}$.
(b) ISC magnitudes against maximum-likelihood magnitudes. Also shown is the line $m_{b}^{\text {ISC }}-m_{b}^{\text {NL }}$ and the least squares line through the data.

## TABLE 1.

## Epicentres, Origin Times and Magnitudes of the Chinese Explosions



- Confidence limits in kilometres
- Number of stations used in computing mb

A Atmospheric explosions (Lop Nor)

## TABLE 2.

## Station Times and Magnitude Efects with $95 \%$ Confidence Limits

| Station | Time term(s) | $\mathrm{N}^{*}$ | Singer mag. Term | $N_{1}^{*}$ | LOD Nor mag. Lerm | $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{*}$ | $\Delta^{\circ}$ | $\varphi^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RBU | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | -0.77 $\pm 0.40$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 37 | 84 |
| RDE | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.59 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 88 | 141 |
| AKL | $-6.49 \pm 0.48$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 23 | 208 |
| AKU | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $-0.52 \pm 0.32$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 60 | 333 |
| PLE | $-3.23 \pm 0.35$ | 4 | $-0.60 \pm 0.24$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 55 | 356 |
| ALO | $-1.76 \pm 0.39$ | 3 | $-0.11 \pm 0.27$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 103 | 13 |
| ANP | $5.54 \pm 2.16$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 32 | 111 |
| AQU | $-1.14 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 54 | 298 |
| ARE | $4.85 \pm 0.77$ | 6 | -0.65*0.34 |  | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 150 | 320 |
| ASPA | $-1.55 \pm 0.39$ | 3 | $0.02 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 77 | 138 |
| ATH | $-1.57 \pm 0.49$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 49 | 288 |
| RUE | $-1.37 \pm 0.50$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 73 | 299 |
| AUF | $-2.53 \pm 0.33$ | 4 | 0.22土0.16 | 4 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 59 | 307 |
| BAL | $-2.07 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $-0.08 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 76 | 155 |
| BRO | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $-1.66 \pm 0.33$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 135 | 292 |
| BDT | $-1.29 \pm 0.36$ | 5 | $-0.25 \pm 0.23$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 26 | 157 |
| Bow | $-1.86 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 94 | 13 |
| BFD | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $-0.15 \pm 0.34$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 92 | 140 |
| BGF | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.11 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 59 | 307 |
| BHA | $-1.10 \pm 0.52$ | 2 | $0.20 \pm 0.21$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 78 | 239 |
| BHG | $-1.11 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.03 \pm 0.34$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 52 | 304 |
| BHJ | $0.28 \pm 0.45$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 24 | 227 |
| BJI | $-1.88 \pm 0.31$ | 6 | $-0.24 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 21 | 85 |
| BKS | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.12 \pm 0.33$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 96 | 24 |
| BLF | $-1.12 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 91 | 231 |
| BMA | $0.12 \pm 1.14$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 136 | 281 |
| BMO | $-2.77 \pm 0.45$ | 4 | $-0.39 \pm 0.30$ | 1 | $-0.05 \pm 0.13$ | 3 | 91 | 19 |
| BNG | $-3.05 \pm 0.25$ | 9 | $0.49 \pm 0.17$ | 4 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 72 | 250 |
| BPI | $-1.11 \pm 0.47$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 88 | 232 |
| BRE | $-1.90 \pm 0.31$ | 5 | $-0.33 \pm 0.18$ | 3 | $0.12 \pm 0.26$ | 1 | 51 | 308 |
| BRS | $-1.49 \pm 0.41$ | 3 | $-0.16 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 91 | 127 |
| BRT | $-1.59 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 52 | 295 |
| BSF | $-2.29 \pm 0.34$ | 4 | $-0.06 \pm 0.17$ | 4 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 56 | 306 |
| BTO | $-3.99 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 16 | 86 |
| BUL | $-1.58 \pm 0.28$ | 7 | $0.15 \pm 0.12$ | 7 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 83 | 235 |
| CAF | $-1.90 \pm 0.33$ | 4 | $-0.08 \pm 0.18$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 60 | 305 |
| CCH | $1.63 \pm 1.61$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 148 | 311 |
| COF | $-2.07 \pm 0.24$ | 8 | $-0.09 \pm 0.16$ | 4 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 55 | 307 |
| CEP | $-5.95 \pm 0.38$ | 4 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 15 | 244 |
| CEY | $-2.17 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 52 | 301 |
| CHCP | $-4.89 \pm 0.45$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 14 | 241 |
| CHG | $-0.46 \pm 0.21$ | 12 | $0.04 \pm 0.29$ | 1 | -0.27ะ0.31 | 1 | 24 | 156 |
| CHTO | $-0.16 \pm 0.41$ | 3 | $0.01 \pm 0.30$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 25 | 155 |
| CIN | $-1.74 \pm 0.58$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 46 | 286 |
| CIR | $-1.75 \pm 0.48$ | 2 | -0.22*0.31 | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 82 | 232 |
| CLE | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | -0.68ะ0.52 | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 97 | 353 |
| CLK | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $-0.16 \pm 0.43$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 75 | 234 |
| CLL | $-2.16 \pm 0.23$ | 9 | $0.08 \pm 0.17$ | 4 | $0.23 \pm 0.27$ | 2 | 51 | 308 |
| CLO | $-1.33 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 47 | 298 |
| CNCB | $1.06 \pm 1.24$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 148 | 314 |
| COL | $-2.61 \pm 0.28$ | 7 | $0.28 \pm 0.19$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 65 | 23 |
| COP | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.22 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 50 | 314 |
| COZ | $-1.06 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 46 | 297 |
| CPA | $-5.27 \pm 0.45$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 16 | 242 |
| CTA | $-1.48 \pm 0.29$ | 7 | -0.05ะ0.18 | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 81 | 127 |
| CTRO | $-1.51 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $-0.07 \pm 0.33$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 81 | 127 |
| CTI | $-2.66 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 54 | 303 |
| CUF | $-2.02 \pm 0.38$ | 3 | $0.26 \pm 0.18$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 57 | 300 |
| CUP | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | -0.07 00.31 | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 37 | 120 |
| CYP | $-1.88 \pm 0.49$ | 2 | $0.30 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 45 | 306 |
| DAG | $-2.99 \pm 0.26$ | 7 | $0.38 \pm 0.13$ | 7 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 54 | 344 |
| OCN | $-2.45 \pm 0.38$ | 3 | $0.28 \pm 0.24$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 61 | 317 |
| DDI | $-3.85 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 14 | 221 |
| DOK | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | -0.16*0.37 | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 61 | 17 |
| DIM | $-0.23 \pm 0.48$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 46 | 293 |
| DIX | $-1.90 \pm 0.34$ | 4 | $0.36 \pm 0.28$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 56 | 304 |
| DLE | $-2.73 \pm 0.38$ | 3 | $0.02 \pm 0.19$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 61 | 317 |
| DMN | $-3.31 \pm 0.39$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 14 | 193 |
| DMU | $-2.44 \pm 0.38$ | 3 | $0.24 \pm 0.24$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 61 | 318 |
| DOU | $-2.39 \pm 0.34$ | 4 | $0.15 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 56 | 310 |
| DRP | $-4.67 \pm 0.41$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 18 | 243 |
| OUI | $-1.64 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 54 | 297 |
| EAB | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | -0.43*0.33 | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 59 | 319 |
| Efu | $-2.92 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.10 \pm 0.23$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 58 | 318 |
| EBL | $-2.86 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.10 \pm 0.23$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 58 | 318 |
| EBR | $-2.09 \pm 2.09$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 63 | 302 |
| ECP | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.27 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 62 | 316 |
| EDC | $-1.01 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 45 | 290 |

TABLE 2．cont．

| Station | Time term（s） | $N_{\text {＊}}^{*}$ | Singer mag．Term | $\mathrm{N}_{i}^{*}$ | Lop Nor mag．term | $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{*}$ | $\Delta^{\circ}$ | $\varphi^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EDM | $-1.92 \pm 0.29$ | 6 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 84 | 13 |
| EOU | $-2.80 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.34 \pm 0.23$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 58 | 319 |
| EGL | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.05 \pm 0.34$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 58 | 318 |
| EIL | $-0.77 \pm 0.49$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 45 | 272 |
| EKA | $-2.59 \pm 0.26$ | 7 | $-0.05 \pm 0.16$ | 4 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 58 | 318 |
| ELL | $-1.55 \pm 0.40$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 45 | 284 |
| ELO | $-2.47 \pm 2.09$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 58 | 319 |
| EMS | $-2.16 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.58 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 57 | 304 |
| ENN | $-1.93 \pm 0.38$ | 3 | $0.09 \pm 0.18$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 55 | 310 |
| EPF | －2．78土0．34 | 4 | $0.38 \pm 0.18$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 62 | 304 |
| ESK | $-2.67 \pm 0.48$ | 2 | $-0.07 \pm 0.32$ | ， | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 58 | 318 |
| EUR | $-1.09 \pm 0.48$ | 2 | $0.55 \pm 0.22$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 96 | 19 |
| Eva | $-0.51 \pm 0.43$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 87 | 231 |
| EZN | $-1.50 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 46 | 290 |
| FBA | $-2.65 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.33 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 65 | 23 |
| FEN | $-0.54 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 26 | 78 |
| FFC | $-2.23 \pm 0.29$ | 6 | $0.53 \pm 0.14$ | 5 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 83 | 6 |
| FLN | $-2.68 \pm 0.28$ | 7 | $0.15 \pm 0.15$ | 5 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 60 | 310 |
| FRB | $-3.53 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $-0.25 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 74 | 350 |
| FRF | $-2.43 \pm 0.38$ | 3 | $0.08 \pm 0.18$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 58 | 302 |
| FUR | $-1.06 \pm 0.34$ | 4 | $0.16 \pm 0.23$ | 2 | $0.51 \pm 0.19$ | 1 | 53 | 305 |
| Fum | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.28 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 101 | 360 |
| GEA | $-3.09 \pm 0.36$ | 6 | $-0.01 \pm 0.23$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 29 | 203 |
| GOL | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | －0．15土0．31 | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 98 | 11 |
| GRC | $-2.58 \pm 0.39$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 58 | 307 |
| GRF | $-1.11 \pm 0.26$ | 7 | $0.34 \pm 0.23$ | 2 | $0.32 \pm 0.26$ | 1 | 53 | 307 |
| GRR | $-2.63 \pm 0.24$ | 8 | $0.44 \pm 0.16$ | 4 | $0.28 \pm 0.33$ | 1 | 60 | 310 |
| GTA | $-2.81 \pm 0.41$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 9 | 101 |
| GWF | $-2.00 \pm 0.48$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 55 | 307 |
| GYA | $-2.01 \pm 0.40$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 21 | 130 |
| hau | $-2.24 \pm 0.28$ | 6 | $-0.25 \pm 0.15$ | 5 | －0．31ะ0．37 | 1 | 56 | 307 |
| HFS | $-2.47 \pm 0.14$ | 14 | $0.34 \pm 0.12$ | 7 | $0.09 \pm 0.17$ | 2 | 48 | 319 |
| HHC | $-1.95 \pm 0.40$ | 4 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 17 | 85 |
| HOF | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $-0.26 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 52 | 308 |
| HRI | $0.37 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 42 | 273 |
| HYB | $-2.05 \pm 0.28$ | 8 | $0.09 \pm 0.13$ | 7 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 26 | 203 |
| 1 MA | $-2.60 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 63 | 24 |
| INK | $-2.86 \pm 0.27$ | 7 | $0.09 \pm 0.20$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 66 | 16 |
| IPM | $-1.36 \pm 0.39$ | 3 | －0．15＊0．21 | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 38 | 160 |
| IRS | $0.29 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 30 | 271 |
| 15K | $-1.11 \pm 0.48$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 44 | 290 |
| 150 | $-2.85 \pm 0.49$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 59 | 303 |
| ISO | $-1.67 \pm 0.39$ | 3 | $0.42 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 78 | 132 |
| $15 T$ | $-1.10 \pm 0.48$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 44 | 290 |
| ITB | $-0.81 \pm 1.14$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 145 | 287 |
| 1 TET | $-0.31 \pm 0.93$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 145 | 286 |
| itR | $-0.15 \pm 1.14$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 123 | 290 |
| JAY | $-1.81 \pm 0.48$ | 2 | $0.08 \pm 0.32$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 64 | 119 |
| JMB | $-0.80 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 45 | 293 |
| JOS | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $-0.56 \pm 0.36$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 48 | 303 |
| KAD | $-8.35 \pm 0.47$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 27 | 212 |
| KAS | $-0.32 \pm 0.51$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 41 | 289 |
| KBA | $-2.04 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $-0.28 \pm 0.23$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 52 | 303 |
| KBL | $-4.22 \pm 0.46$ | 7 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 17 | 252 |
| KDC | －2．96＊0．40 | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 69 | 30 |
| KOZ | $-0.56 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 46 | 292 |
| KEV | $-1.65 \pm 0.28$ | 6 | $0.05 \pm 0.16$ | 4 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 42 | 333 |
| KHC | $-1.52 \pm 0.31$ | 5 | －0．41 $\pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 5. | 306 |
| KHI | $0.45 \pm 0.50$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 25 | 263 |
| KIC | $-2.01 \pm 0.24$ | 8 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 88 | 277 |
| KIR | $-2.16 \pm 0.27$ | 8 | $0.41 \pm 0.19$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 44 | 330 |
| KJF | $-2.19 \pm 0.30$ | 11 | $0.01 \pm 0.20$ | 4 | $-0.74 \pm 4.23$ | 1 | 41 | 324 |
| KJN | $-2.35 \pm 0.50$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 41 | 324 |
| KKm | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | －0．10＊0．31 | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 43 | 38 |
| KKN | $-2.74 \pm 2.09$ | 4 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 14 | 193 |
| KLB | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $-0.10 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 77 | 155 |
| KLG | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.31 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 78 | 152 |
| KMI | $-2.87 \pm 0.32$ | 5 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 20 | 140 |
| KNA | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | －0．01 $\pm 0.32$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 68 | 138 |
| KOD | $-2.52 \pm 2.09$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 33 | 201 |
| KRA | －1．43土0．45 | 3 | －0．12 $\pm 0.21$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 47 | 305 |
| KRI | －2．26＊0．47 | 3 | $0.23 \pm 0.22$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 80 | 237 |
| KRR | $-1.48 \pm 0.41$ | 3 | $0.46 \pm 0.17$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 80 | 236 |
| KSH | $-2.08 \pm 2.09$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 10 | 262 |
| KSP | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $-0.11 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 49 | 307 |
| L．AO | $-2.92 \pm 0.59$ | 4 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.29 \pm 0.14$ | 3 | 92 | 11 |
| LBF | $-2.79 \pm 0.26$ | 7 | $-0.20 \pm 0.15$ | 5 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 58 | 307 |
| LDF | $-2.70 \pm 0.38$ | 3 | $0.32 \pm 0.18$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 60 | 310 |

TABLE 2. cont.

| Station | Time term(s) | $\mathrm{N}^{*}$ | singer mag. term | $N_{i}^{*}$ | Lop Nor mag. term | $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{*}$ | $\Delta^{\circ}$ | $\varphi^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LFF | $-1.61 \pm 0.24$ | 8 | $0.56 \pm 0.14$ | 5 | $0.11 \pm 0.35$ | 1 | 61 | 306 |
| LF4 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.42 \pm 0.25$ | 1 | 91 | 11 |
| LJU | $-1.72 \pm 0.48$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.23 \pm 0.24$ | 1 | 52 | 302 |
| LLS | $-2.48 \pm 0.38$ | 3 | $0.26 \pm 0.24$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 55 | 305 |
| LMR | $-2.28 \pm 0.28$ | 6 | $0.04 \pm 0.14$ | 5 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 58 | 302 |
| LOR | $-3.02 \pm 0.14$ | 10 | $0.16 \pm 0.17$ | 5 | $-0.04 \pm 0.17$ | 1 | 58 | 307 |
| LPB | $1.31 \pm 0.82$ | 5 | $-0.47 \pm 0.25$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 148 | 314 |
| LPF | $-2.70 \pm 0.30$ | 5 | $0.01 \pm 0.15$ | 5 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 61 | 310 |
| LPO | $-1.79 \pm 0.24$ | 8 | $0.50 \pm 0.19$ | 6 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 61 | 305 |
| LRG | $-2.30 \pm 0.28$ | 6 | $0.04 \pm 0.15$ | 5 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 58 | 302 |
| LRM | $-1.67 \pm 0.38$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 91 | 15 |
| LRR | $-0.90 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 45 | 297 |
| LSF | $-2.90 \pm 0.28$ | 6 | -0.11ะ0.15 | 5 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 60 | 307 |
| L2H | $-3.37 \pm 0.41$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 13 | 110 |
| MAF | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.50 \pm 0.31$ |  | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 60 | 307 |
| malo | $0.21 \pm 0.61$ | 4 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 23 | 266 |
| MRL | $-3.11 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 69 | 301 |
| MAT | $-3.42 \pm 0.48$ | 2 | $-0.64 \pm 0.37$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 38 | 81 |
| MBC | $-2.50 \pm 0.26$ | 8 | $0.45 \pm 0.13$ | 7 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 61 | 7 |
| MBL | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $-0.08 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 68 | 149 |
| MEK | $-2.45 \pm 0.48$ | 2 | $-0.34 \pm 0.34$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 73 | 152 |
| MEM | $-1.96 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 55 | 310 |
| MFF | $-2.55 \pm 0.33$ | 4 | $-0.01 \pm 0.16$ | 4 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 61 | 308 |
| MHI | -0.23*0.34 | 4 | $-0.32 \pm 0.39$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 23 | 267 |
| MLR | $-0.72 \pm 0.38$ | 4 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 45 | 297 |
| MLS | $-2.52 \pm 0.47$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 62 | 304 |
| MmB | $-1.48 \pm 0.48$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 48 | 293 |
| MmK | $-2.32 \pm 0.38$ | 3 | $0.49 \pm 0.22$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 56 | 304 |
| MNL | $-3.64 \pm 0.56$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 14 | 238 |
| MNS | $-2.22 \pm 0.38$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 55 | 298 |
| MNT | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $-0.34 \pm 0.33$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 92 | 348 |
| mox | $-1.79 \pm 0.18$ | 11 | $-0.24 \pm 0.20$ | 3 | $0.22 \pm 0.24$ | 2 | 52 | 308 |
| MRUA | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $-0.71 \pm 0.32$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 74 | 156 |
| MSH | $0.92 \pm 0.64$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 23 | 267 |
| MSL | -1.10土0.47 | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 35 | 277 |
| MTD | $-2.17 \pm 0.33$ | 5 | $0.26 \pm 0.15$ | 4 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 78 | 235 |
| MUD | $-1.61 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 51 | 316 |
| MUN | $-2.38 \pm 0.40$ | 3 | $0.05 \pm 0.24$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 77 | 156 |
| MZF | $-2.12 \pm 0.38$ | 3 | $0.59 \pm 0.32$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 59 | 306 |
| NAI | $0.44 \pm 2.09$ | 2 | $-0.14 \pm 0.23$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 63 | 242 |
| NRO | $-3.45 \pm 0.36$ | 4 | $-0.36 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | -0.04ะ0.14 | 2 | 49 | 321 |
| NBO | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.08 \pm 0.14$ | 1 | 50 | 321 |
| NB2 | $-2.66 \pm 0.30$ | 7 | $0.01 \pm 0.12$ | 6 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 49 | 321 |
| NO! | $-3.67 \pm 0.38$ | 12 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 16 | 218 |
| NEW | $-1.41 \pm 0.31$ | 5 | $-0.06 \pm 0.34$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 88 | 17 |
| NIE | $-1.01 \pm 0.35$ | 4 | $0.13 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.45 \pm 0.20$ | 1 | 47 | 304 |
| NIL | $-4.39 \pm 0.56$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 15 | 242 |
| NJ2 | $-1.05 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 26 | 102 |
| NOR | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.47 \pm 0.29$ | 1 | 52 | 350 |
| NP- | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.42 \pm 0.30$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 61 | 7 |
| NPA | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $-0.33 \pm 0.33$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 72 | 231 |
| NUR | $-1.84 \pm 0.17$ | 11 | $0.02 \pm 0.19$ | 3 | $0.37 \pm 0.21$ | 2 | 43 | 319 |
| NWPO | -2.14土0.47 | 2 | $-0.08 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 79 | 156 |
| OGA | $-2.24 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.02 \pm 0.33$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 54 | 304 |
| OHR | $-2.76 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 50 | 293 |
| 015 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $-0.58 \pm 0.32$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 37 | 86 |
| ORI | $-1.08 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 53 | 294 |
| ORV | 3. $96 \pm 2.12$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 95 | 23 |
| OSS | $-1.97 \pm 0.38$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 54 | 304 |
| OTT | $-1.91 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.31 \pm 0.23$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 92 | 349 |
| PAE | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $-0.39 \pm 0.35$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 125 | 83 |
| PCT | $-0.51 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $-0.56 \pm 0.33$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 29 | 154 |
| PGC | $-1.26 \pm 0.39$ | 3 | $0.04 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 86 | 21 |
| PHC | $-1.82 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $-0.01 \pm 0.40$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 83 | 22 |
| PKI | $-2.90 \pm 0.41$ | 4 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 14 | 192 |
| PME | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $-0.65 \pm 0.33$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 67 | 26 |
| PMG | $-1.25 \pm 0.49$ | 2 | $0.17 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 74 | 119 |
| PMO | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $-0.48 \pm 0.34$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 125 | 79 |
| PMR | $-3.23 \pm 0.49$ | 2 | $-0.10 \pm 0.23$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 67 | 26 |
| PNT | $-1.77 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $-0.22 \pm 0.24$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 86 | 18 |
| POO | $-1.98 \pm 0.40$ | 5 | $0.14 \pm 0.36$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 26 | 213 |
| PPN | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | -0.68ะ0.36 | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 125 | 83 |
| PPT | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $-0.04 \pm 0.35$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 125 | 83 |
| PRA | $-1.70 \pm 2.09$ | 2 | $-0.32 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 50 | 307 |
| PRK | $-0.90 \pm 0.50$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 46 | 289 |
| PRU | $-1.66 \pm 0.34$ | 4 | $-0.32 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 50 | 306 |
| PSH | $-4.83 \pm 0.56$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 16 | 247 |
| PSI | $-3.93 \pm 0.48$ | 2 | $-0.70 \pm 0.25$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 40 | 164 |

TABLE 2．cont．

| Station | Time term（s） | $\mathrm{N}_{T}^{*}$ | Singer mag．term | $N_{i}^{*}$ | Lop Nor mag．term | $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{*}$ | $\Delta{ }^{\circ}$ | $\varphi^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PUL | $1.09 \pm 0.55$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 46 | 294 |
| PYM | $-2.38 \pm 0.39$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 59 | 306 |
| QUE | －1．99＊0．41 | 4 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 21 | 244 |
| RJF | $-1.86 \pm 0.28$ | 6 | $0.29 \pm 0.14$ | 5 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 60 | 306 |
| RMP | －2．22士0．49 | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 55 | 298 |
| RSNT | $-1.89 \pm 0.40$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 75 | 11 |
| RSNY | $-1.20 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 93 | 348 |
| RSON | $-2.65 \pm 0.39$ | 3 | $0.10 \pm 0.22$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 88 | 2 |
| RSSD | －1．08ะ0．47 | 2 | $0.24 \pm 0.35$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 94 | 9 |
| RUU | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $-0.67 \pm 0.36$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 125 | 79 |
| SAL | －2．15£0．47 | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 55 | 303 |
| SARP | $-6.39 \pm 0.38$ | 4 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 16 | 237 |
| SAX | －2．19土0．47 | 2 | $-0.16 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 55 | 305 |
| SCH | $-2.64 \pm 0.39$ | 3 | $-0.03 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 82 | 346 |
| SCO | $-1.44 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.32 \pm 0.31$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 58 | 338 |
| SEK | －1．15＊0．49 | 2 | $0.09 \pm 0.23$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 90 | 231 |
| SES | $-2.48 \pm 0.39$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 87 | 13 |
| SGO | $-1.68 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 54 | 295 |
| SHK | $-2.37 \pm 0.51$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 35 | 87 |
| SHL | $-2.86 \pm 0.38$ | 7 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 16 | 169 |
| SIT | $-1.00 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 75 | 23 |
| SKO | $-1.37 \pm 0.39$ | 3 | $0.07 \pm 0.24$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 49 | 294 |
| SLE | $-2.19 \pm 0.38$ | 3 | $0.44 \pm 0.22$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 55 | 306 |
| SLL | $-2.38 \pm 0.35$ | 4 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 48 | 320 |
| SLR | －0．51 $\pm 0.47$ | 2 | $-0.03 \pm 0.31$ | ， | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 87 | 232 |
| SMF | $-2.56 \pm 0.33$ | 4 | $0.48 \pm 0.22$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 58 | 306 |
| SMY | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.20 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 56 | 47 |
| SNY | $-1.69 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 26 | 78 |
| SOB1 | $-0.72 \pm 1.14$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 125 | 291 |
| SOD | $-1.75 \pm 0.27$ | 7 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 42 | 329 |
| SPC | $-1.10 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 47 | 304 |
| SPF | $-2.37 \pm 0.35$ | 4 | $0.14 \pm 0.25$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 58 | 302 |
| SSB | $-2.32 \pm 0.52$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 59 | 305 |
| SSC | $-2.54 \pm 0.34$ | 4 | $0.25 \pm 0.24$ | 2 | $-0.02 \pm 0.33$ | 1 | 60 | 310 |
| SSE | $-1.40 \pm 0.48$ | 2 | $-0.09 \pm 0.23$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 28 | 101 |
| SSF | $-2.91 \pm 0.24$ | 8 | $0.05 \pm 0.15$ | 6 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 58 | 307 |
| STJ | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.34 \pm 0.33$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 85 | 335 |
| STK | $-2.02 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.05 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 88 | 137 |
| SUF | $-1.77 \pm 0.34$ | 4 | $0.02 \pm 0.18$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 42 | 322 |
| SUw | $-1.82 \pm 0.63$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 65 | 29 |
| TCF | $-2.18 \pm 0.24$ | 8 | $0.51 \pm 0.20$ | 4 | $0.20 \pm 0.33$ | 1 | 59 | 307 |
| TET | $-1.99 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 77 | 234 |
| THW | $-5.20 \pm 0.50$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 16 | 241 |
| tia | $-1.40 \pm 0.49$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 23 | 94 |
| T10 | $-1.31 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 74 | 297 |
| TIR | $-1.90 \pm 2.09$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 50 | 294 |
| TIY | $-1.61 \pm 0.50$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 19 | 94 |
| TMA | $-2.95 \pm 0.38$ | 3 | $0.67 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 55 | 304 |
| TOL | $-1.34 \pm 0.48$ | 2 | $0.73 \pm 0.22$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 67 | 303 |
| T00 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.03 \pm 0.33$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 94 | 138 |
| TPT | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $-0.37 \pm 0.35$ | 1 | $0.00 * 0.00$ | 0 | 125 | 79 |
| TRI | $-2.70 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 53 | 302 |
| TRO | $-2.15 \pm 0.48$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 45 | 332 |
| TRT | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | －0．05＊0．31 | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 53 | 150 |
| TSK | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | －0．28土0．32 | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 40 | 80 |
| TUL | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.18 \pm 0.32$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 103 | 4 |
| tvo | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.05 \pm 0.34$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 125 | 83 |
| UBO | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | －0．23土0．33 | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 97 | 14 |
| UCC | $-2.18 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 56 | 310 |
| UIME | $-2.12 \pm 0.37$ | 5 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 44 | 324 |
| UPP | $-2.26 \pm 0.17$ | 12 | $0.46 \pm 0.19$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 46 | 319 |
| VAH | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | －0．47土0．35 | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 125 | 79 |
| UAR | －0．72＊0．47 | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 17 | 198 |
| VDL | $-2.12 \pm 0.38$ | 3 | $0.78 \pm 0.31$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 55 | 304 |
| UKA | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | －0．06 00.31 | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 50 | 304 |
| URI | $-0.71 \pm 0.47$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 44 | 297 |
| UTS | －0．77＊0．47 | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 48 | 294 |
| WBN | $-1.28 \pm 0.39$ | 3 | －0．50土0．32 | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 76 | 145 |
| WB2 | $-2.14 \pm 0.31$ | 5 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 75 | 135 |
| WCB | $-2.29 \pm 0.40$ | 4 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 74 | 136 |
| WET | $-1.60 \pm 0.40$ | 3 | －0．48＊0．20 | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 52 | 306 |
| UHN | －0．48＊0．35 | 4 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 24 | 110 |
| WIT | －0．96＊2．09 | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 54 | 312 |
| WLF | $-2.62 \pm 0.54$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 56 | 309 |
| WMO | $2.62 \pm 0.51$ | 4 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 2 | 346 |
| WOL | $0.00 * 0.00$ | 0 | $0.72 \pm 0.36$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 59 | 313 |
| WRA | －2．11＊0．29 | 7 | $0.23 \pm 0.14$ | 5 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 75 | 135 |
| WRS | $-5.04 * 0.60$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 15 | 246 |

## TABLE 2. cont.

| Station | Time term(s) | $\mathrm{N}^{*}$ | Singer mag. term | $N_{1}^{*}$ | Lop Nor mag. term | $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{*}$ | $\Delta^{\circ}$ | $\varphi^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WTS | $-1.68 \pm 0.35$ | 4 | -0.11土0.19 | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 54 | 311 |
| XAN | $-2.45 \pm 0.46$ | 3 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 18 | 109 |
| YKA | $-1.83 \pm 0.31$ | 7 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 75 | 11 |
| YKC | $-2.36 \pm 0.40$ | 3 | $0.20 \pm 0.25$ | 2 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 75 | 11 |
| 2080 | $0.38 \pm 1.14$ | 2 | $-0.98 \pm 0.34$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 148 | 315 |
| zST | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $-0.50 \pm 0.33$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 50 | 304 |
| ZUL | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | $0.40 \pm 0.32$ | 1 | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | 0 | 56 | 306 |




## FIGURE 1. ESTIMATED EPICENTRES FOR THE CHINESE EXPLOSIONS.

a) ISC epicentres
b) JED epicentres


Contour interval 100 metres

(a) Station magnitude terms against station time-terms for the Singer (underground) explosions.
(b) Station magnitude terms for the Lop Nor (atmospheric) explosions against the magnitude terms for the Singer explosions.
(c) Station magnitude terms for the Singer explosions derived using only data in the range 20-100 against those derived using data out to $180^{\circ}$.

FIGURE 3. COMPARISONS OF STATION TERMS

(a) Maximum-likelihood magnitudes derived for the Singer underground explosions using only data in the range $20-100^{\circ}$ against the magnitudes derived using data in the range $\mathbf{2 0 - 1 8 0 ^ { \circ }}$.
(b) ISC magnitudes against maximum-likelihood magnitudes. Also shown is the line $m_{b}^{1 S C}-m_{b}^{2 L}$ and the least squares line through the data.
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